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#### Abstract

Diffusive transport is characterized by a diffusivity tensor which may, in general, contain both a symmetric and an antisymmetric component. Although the latter is often neglected, we derive Green-Kubo relations showing it to be a general characteristic of random motion breaking time-reversal and parity symmetries, as encountered in chiral active matter. In analogy with the odd viscosity appearing in chiral active fluids, we term this component the odd diffusivity. We show how odd diffusivity emerges in a chiral random walk model, and demonstrate the applicability of the Green-Kubo relations through molecular dynamics simulations of a passive tracer particle diffusing in a chiral active bath.


DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.178001

Introduction.-Among the historic successes of nonequilibrium statistical mechanics is the explanation of macroscopic transport phenomena in terms of microscopic fluctuations occurring at equilibrium [1-5]. More recent efforts aim to generalize this framework to include systems whose steady states are not Boltzmann distributed, and whose dynamics are not determined by Hamiltonianconserving forces. A major impetus for this generalization is the study of active matter, i.e., systems composed of particles that are propelled by microscopic driving forces and thus maintained out of equilibrium.

Chiral active matter is composed of particles driven by microscopic torques and may be synthetic, as in the case of active colloids [6-9], or biological, as in the case of certain bacteria, algae, and spermatozoa [10-12]. Such systems have been shown to exhibit emergent transport behavior reminiscent of their equilibrium counterparts, yet with striking differences. For instance, chiral active fluids may exhibit Newtonian constitutive behavior, but with a novel viscosity coefficient termed the odd (or Hall) viscosity emerging as a consequence of breaking time reversal and parity symmetries at the level of stress fluctuations [13-16]. In this Letter we examine an analogous quantity appearing in the context of diffusive transport.

In dilute solutions, Fick's law posits the linear constitutive relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=-\mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla C \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

between the diffusive flux $\boldsymbol{J}$ and the concentration gradient $\boldsymbol{\nabla} C$, with $\mathbf{D}$ being a rank-two diffusivity tensor. In general D may contain both a symmetric and antisymmetric part. We term the latter the "odd diffusivity," emphasizing its connection to odd viscosity. Just as odd viscosity generates normal stresses perpendicular to shear flow, odd diffusivity
generates fluxes perpendicular to concentration gradients. Like odd viscosity [13-18], we will show odd diffusivity to emerge as a consequence of breaking time-reversal and parity symmetries at the level of microscopic fluctuations.

For simplicity, we examine odd diffusivity in isotropic systems. As there exists no rank-two tensor in three dimensions which is both isotropic and antisymmetric [14] we restrict our attention to two-dimensional diffusion, where the diffusivity tensor takes the form

$$
D_{i j}=D_{\|} \delta_{i j}-D_{\perp} \epsilon_{i j}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D_{\|} & -D_{\perp}  \tag{2}\\
D_{\perp} & D_{\|}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Here, $\delta_{i j}=\delta_{j i}$ is the symmetric Kronecker delta and $\epsilon_{i j}=$ $-\epsilon_{j i}$ is the antisymmetric Levi-Civita permutation tensor. $D_{\|}$is the ordinary isotropic diffusivity coefficient driving flux from regions of high to low concentration while $D_{\perp}$ is the odd diffusivity driving flux in the perpendicular direction [as in Fig. 1(a)]. Combining Eqs. (1) and (2) with the continuity equation $\partial_{t} C=-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}$ yields the diffusion equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} C=D_{\|} \nabla^{2} C \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is unaffected by the divergence-free fluxes produced by $D_{\perp}$. Thus, while $D_{\perp}$ may influence $C$ in the presence of boundary conditions involving fluxes (e.g., impermeable obstacles, see Supplemental Material [19], Sec. A.1), $D_{\perp}$ cannot affect $C$ for boundary conditions involving solely the concentration.

Past studies of odd diffusivity have generally been limited to equilibrium systems, most commonly systems of charged particles in magnetic fields. Such systems acquire an antisymmetric component of both the diffusivity tensor and the mobility tensor, which describes the current


FIG. 1. Relationship between odd diffusivity and chirality of particle trajectories in a left-turning random walk ( $\Gamma_{1}=1$, $\Gamma_{2}=\Gamma_{3}=0$ ). (a) A linear concentration gradient induces a uniform flux field (arrows) with a perpendicular component due to $D_{\perp}$. (b) Logarithmic spiral form of the position-velocity correlation functions from Eqs. (25)-(26). The Green-Kubo relations (13)-(14) specify that the $x$ and $y$ coordinates converge to the two diffusivity coefficients as $t \rightarrow \infty$, while the angle $\theta$ is identical to that in (a), as annotated. (c) Random sample of 50 time-reversed trajectories $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{r}^{\alpha}(-t)$ satisfying either $\boldsymbol{v}^{\alpha}(0)=v_{0} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x}$ (indicated by $\rightarrow$ ) or $\boldsymbol{v}^{\alpha}(0)=-v_{0} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x}$ (indicated by $\leftarrow$ ) for $t \in$ $\left[0, \Gamma_{1}^{-1}\right]$ together with the subensemble-averaged trajectories $\left\langle\Delta \boldsymbol{r}^{\alpha}(-t)\right\rangle_{\rightarrow}$ and $\left\langle\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{r}^{\alpha}(-t)\right\rangle_{\leftarrow}$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$.
response to an electric field. This is the basis of the Hall effect, and has consequences for the transport of confined plasmas and cosmic rays [20-27]. Odd diffusivity has also been recognized in certain mathematical models of chiral random walks [28,29], and in convection-diffusion processes in chiral porous media [30].

In this Letter we suggest a unifying framework within which to understand these phenomena, which extends beyond equilibrium. We begin by asking the following: given that the existence of odd diffusivity is compatible with the macroscopic theory of diffusion, what microscopic conditions are necessary for it to appear? Through deriving a Green-Kubo relation for the odd diffusivity, we will show that it emerges in systems breaking time-reversal and parity symmetries, as characterized by chiral random motion of particle trajectories. Odd diffusivity is thus characteristic of a broad range of diffusive processes, and of particular interest for out-of-equilibrium systems such as chiral active matter, where time-reversal symmetry can be broken by microscopic driving forces. We validate the derived GreenKubo relations exactly for a model chiral random walk and numerically in active matter simulations, demonstrating good agreement with direct measurements of the flux in response to an imposed concentration gradient.

Green-Kubo relations.-We now proceed to obtain Green-Kubo relations for $D_{i j}$. We follow an approach similar in spirit to the celebrated work of Einstein, Smoluchowski, and others [31,32], which connected molecular-scale Brownian motion with the macroscopic diffusion equation (3), and we will rely on similar arguments about the separation of timescales. However, because the odd diffusivity $D_{\perp}$ does not contribute to Eq. (3), such an approach can yield no information about $D_{\perp}$. The same is true when taking as a starting point the Onsager regression hypothesis [1,2,33], itself formulated upon Eq. (3), as in a recent derivation of Green-Kubo relations for the odd viscosity [14]. Accordingly, rather than considering the time evolution of the concentration via the diffusion equation (3), we will instead directly examine the microscopic basis of the fluxes appearing in the constitutive law (1), similar to the route taken in linear response theory [34]. In doing so, however, we will not require any linear response relation between the diffusivity and the mobility.

We begin by considering a dilute solution of particles undergoing random motion, e.g., due to collisions with a solvent bath. Let $f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}, t)$ indicate the probability density of finding a particle at position $\boldsymbol{r}$ with velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$ at time $t$. The local, instantaneous flux $\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)$ is then defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\int d \boldsymbol{v} f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}, t) \boldsymbol{v} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now consider the subensemble of all singleparticle trajectories compatible with the conditions $\boldsymbol{r}^{\alpha}(t)=\boldsymbol{r}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}^{\alpha}(t)=\boldsymbol{v}$, where $\alpha$ is an index over trajectories. As particles cannot be created or destroyed, continuity requires that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}, t)=\left\langle f\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\alpha}(t-\tau), \boldsymbol{v}^{\alpha}(t-\tau), t-\tau\right)\right\rangle_{\substack{\alpha^{\alpha}(t)==\\ v^{\alpha}(t)=v}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle_{\substack{r_{(\alpha)}(t)=r \\ v(l)=v}}$ denotes an average over all trajectories leading into point $\boldsymbol{r}$ with velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$ at time $t$. Suppose there exists a correlation timescale $\tau_{c}$, such that for $\tau \gg \tau_{c}$ a particle's velocity $\boldsymbol{v}^{\alpha}(t)$ is uncorrelated with its earlier value $\boldsymbol{v}^{\alpha}(t-\tau)$ and thus becomes distributed according to the unconditional probability density function $\phi(\boldsymbol{v})$, which we assume to be independent of $t$ (stationary) and $\boldsymbol{r}$ (translationally invariant). Then, for $\tau \gg \tau_{c}$, Eq. (5) factorizes to
where the concentration $C(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\int d \boldsymbol{v} f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}, t)$.
Let the timescale over which the system relaxes from a state of nonuniform concentration be denoted $\tau_{r}$, e.g., $\tau_{r} \approx L^{2} / D_{\|}$, for the macroscopic length $L$ describing the variation in $C(\boldsymbol{r}, t)$. We now assume that $\tau$ may be chosen to satisfy the separation of timescales

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{c} \ll \tau \ll \tau_{r} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

following Einstein, Smoluchowski, Kubo and others [14,31-33,35]. With these assumptions, the subensem-ble-averaged concentration appearing in Eq. (6) may be approximated by expanding about $\boldsymbol{r}$ to first order and about $t$ to zeroth order

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle C\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\alpha}(t-\tau), t-\tau\right)\right\rangle_{r^{\alpha}(t)=r}^{v^{\alpha}(t)=v} \mid \\
& \approx C(\boldsymbol{r}, t)+\left\langle\boldsymbol{r}^{\alpha}(t-\tau)-\boldsymbol{r}^{\alpha}(t)\right\rangle_{\substack{\alpha(t)=r \\
v^{\alpha}(t)=v}} \cdot \nabla C(\boldsymbol{r}, t) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting the relationship between a particle's displacement and its velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{r}^{\alpha}(t-\tau)-\boldsymbol{r}^{\alpha}(t)=-\int_{0}^{\tau} d t^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}^{\alpha}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and inserting the results of Eqs. (6)-(9) into Eq. (4) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)= \int d \boldsymbol{v} \phi(\boldsymbol{v}) \boldsymbol{v} \\
& \times\left[C(\boldsymbol{r}, t)-\int_{0}^{\tau} d t^{\prime}\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}^{\alpha}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{r^{\alpha}(t)=r}^{v^{\prime}(t)=v}\right. \\
&=\left.-\int_{0}^{\tau} d t^{\prime}\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}(t) \otimes \boldsymbol{v}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \cdot \nabla C(\boldsymbol{r}, t)\right]  \tag{10}\\
&
\end{align*}
$$

with $\otimes$ indicating the dyadic product. The convective term proportional to $C(\boldsymbol{r}, t)$ vanishes under the assumption that $\phi(\boldsymbol{v})$ is unbiased, i.e., $\int d \boldsymbol{v} \phi(\boldsymbol{v}) \boldsymbol{v}=0$. The second equality in Eq. (10) follows from the definition of the conditional expectation. The condition $\boldsymbol{r}^{\alpha}(t)=\boldsymbol{r}$ has been dropped due to the assumption of translational invariance; consequently, the average in the final expression is taken over all trajectories. Comparing with the constitutive relation (1), we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i j}=\int_{0}^{\tau} d t^{\prime}\left\langle v_{i}(t) v_{j}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Invoking stationarity to set $\left\langle v_{i}(t) v_{j}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle v_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right) v_{j}(0)\right\rangle$ and carrying out the limit $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ due to the requirement $\tau \gg \tau_{c}$ yields the Green-Kubo relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i j}=\int_{0}^{\infty} d t\left\langle v_{i}(t) v_{j}(0)\right\rangle \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

These relations hold independently for each component of the diffusivity tensor, including any antisymmetric part. Considering the specific form of $D_{i j}$ in Eq. (2), we may contract with $\delta_{i j}$ and $\epsilon_{i j}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
2 D_{\|} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} d t\left\langle v_{i}(t) v_{j}(0)\right\rangle \delta_{i j} \\
& \left.=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\Delta r_{i}(t) v_{j}(0)\right\rangle \delta_{i j}=\left.\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 t}\langle | \boldsymbol{\Delta r}(t)\right|^{2}\right\rangle \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
2 D_{\perp} & =-\int_{0}^{\infty} d t\left\langle v_{i}(t) v_{j}(0)\right\rangle \epsilon_{i j} \\
& =-\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\Delta r_{i}(t) v_{j}(0)\right\rangle \epsilon_{i j} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

The first equality in Eqs. (13) and (14) is of the usual Green-Kubo form $[33,35]$. In the second equality the integral has been carried out, permitting a geometric interpretation of the two diffusion coefficients in terms of the position-velocity correlation functions [as in Fig. 1(b)]. The third equality in Eq. (13) is the well-known relationship between $D_{\|}$and the mean squared displacement; note that no such relation exists for $D_{\perp}$ due to its absence from the diffusion equation (3).

The antisymmetric tensor $\epsilon_{i j}$ in Eq. (14) projects out the time-reversal-symmetric and even-parity part of the correlation function, indicating that whereas $D_{\|}$is even under time reversal and parity inversion, $D_{\perp}$ is odd under both operations. Onsager's reciprocal relations [1,2] similarly require that transport coefficient tensors be symmetric as a consequence of time-reversal symmetry. It should be noted, however, that $D_{\perp}$, being nondissipative, is not compatible with entropic arguments pertaining to the reciprocal relations, an issue that was previously discussed in a FokkerPlanck context [36,37]. The Green-Kubo relation (14) provides, instead, a direct statement of how time-reversal symmetry should be broken for odd diffusivity to appear.

In equilibrium systems, the diffusivity and the mobility are connected by the Einstein relation. In such systems, the Green-Kubo relation (14) may be shown from linear response theory [34]. The derivation above shows that Eq. (14) can be applied even to inherently nonequilibrium systems such as active matter, where effective Einstein relations may exist under special circumstances [38-42], but in general need not. Consequently, odd diffusivity can arise even in cases where the antisymmetric mobility vanishes (as demonstrated in the Supplemental Material [19], Sec. A. 3 for a chiral active Brownian particle), or where mobility has no physical meaning, as in cases of animal navigation with a documented steering bias [43-47].

Chiral random walk.-To illustrate the microscopic origins of $D_{\perp}$ and $D_{\|}$, consider a particle that moves at a constant speed $v_{0}$ and reorients by turning left, reversing direction, or turning right at random intervals with frequency $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$, and $\Gamma_{3}$, respectively. Between these changes in direction, the particle moves in a straight line.

We may understand the diffusive behavior of this model by decomposing the probability density $P(x, y, t)$ of the particle sitting at coordinates $(x, y)$ at time $t$ into a sum of joint probabilities associated with the four possible directions of motion: $P(x, y, t)=P_{\rightarrow}(x, y, t)+P_{\uparrow}(x, y, t)+$ $P_{\leftarrow}(x, y, t)+P_{\downarrow}(x, y, t)$. By considering the continuity of these joint probabilities, we arrive at the coupled master equations [48]
$\partial_{t} P_{\rightarrow}=\Gamma_{1} P_{\downarrow}+\Gamma_{2} P_{\leftarrow}+\Gamma_{3} P_{\uparrow}-\gamma P_{\rightarrow}-v_{0} \partial_{x} P_{\rightarrow}$,
$\partial_{t} P_{\uparrow}=\Gamma_{1} P_{\rightarrow}+\Gamma_{2} P_{\downarrow}+\Gamma_{3} P_{\leftarrow}-\gamma P_{\uparrow}-v_{0} \partial_{y} P_{\uparrow}$,
$\partial_{t} P_{\leftarrow}=\Gamma_{1} P_{\uparrow}+\Gamma_{2} P_{\rightarrow}+\Gamma_{3} P_{\downarrow}-\gamma P_{\leftarrow}+v_{0} \partial_{x} P_{\leftarrow}$,
$\partial_{t} P_{\downarrow}=\Gamma_{1} P_{\leftarrow}+\Gamma_{2} P_{\uparrow}+\Gamma_{3} P_{\rightarrow}-\gamma P_{\downarrow}+v_{0} \partial_{y} P_{\downarrow}$,
where $\gamma=\Gamma_{1}+\Gamma_{2}+\Gamma_{3}$. Suppose we are interested in a steady state in which concentration varies only in the $x$ direction. Then, from Eq. (4), we may define

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{x}(x) & =v_{0}\left\langle P_{\rightarrow}(x)-P_{\leftarrow}(x)\right\rangle,  \tag{19}\\
J_{y}(x) & =v_{0}\left\langle P_{\uparrow}(x)-P_{\downarrow}(x)\right\rangle, \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

and, upon subtracting Eqs. (18) from (16) and averaging, obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} J_{y}(x)=0=\left(\Gamma_{1}-\Gamma_{3}\right) J_{x}(x)-\left(\gamma+\Gamma_{2}\right) J_{y}(x) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for the ratio $J_{y}(x) / J_{x}(x)$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J_{y}(x)}{J_{x}(x)}=\frac{D_{\perp}}{D_{\|}}=\frac{\Gamma_{1}-\Gamma_{3}}{\gamma+\Gamma_{2}} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Examining this expression we note that $D_{\perp} \neq 0$ whenever $\Gamma_{1} \neq \Gamma_{3}$, indicating a preference between left and right turns, i.e., chirality of random motion.

We now consider the Green-Kubo relation (13) for this model. Recognizing that only four velocity states are possible, we expand the correlation functions as

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{\|} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Delta r_{i}(t) v_{j}(0)\right\rangle \delta_{i j} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8} v_{0}\left[\langle x(t)\rangle_{\rightarrow}-\langle x(t)\rangle_{\leftarrow}+\langle y(t)\rangle_{\uparrow}-\langle y(t)\rangle_{\downarrow}\right] \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} v_{0}\langle x(t)\rangle_{\rightarrow}, \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot\rangle_{\rightarrow}$ indicates an average conditioned on the particle initially moving to the right from the origin. The other terms $\langle\cdot\rangle_{\uparrow},\langle\cdot\rangle_{\llcorner }$and $\langle\cdot\rangle_{\downarrow}$ follow the same notational convention. The simplification on the final line is due to isotropy. Likewise, from Eq. (14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\perp}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} v_{0}\langle y(t)\rangle_{\rightarrow} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The averages are obtained by solving Eqs. (15) through (18) with the initial condition $P_{\rightarrow}(x, y, 0)=\delta(x) \delta(y)$ (see Supplemental Material [19], Sec. A.1). In doing so, we find that the mean trajectory is a logarithmic spiral, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle x(t)\rangle_{\rightarrow}=v_{0} \frac{\nu-e^{-\nu t}[\nu \cos (\omega t)+\omega \sin (\omega t)]}{\nu^{2}+\omega^{2}},  \tag{25}\\
& \langle y(t)\rangle_{\rightarrow}=v_{0} \frac{\omega-e^{-\nu t}[\omega \cos (\omega t)-\nu \sin (\omega t)]}{\nu^{2}+\omega^{2}}, \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where for compactness we have defined $\omega=\Gamma_{1}-\Gamma_{3}$ and $\nu=\Gamma_{1}+2 \Gamma_{2}+\Gamma_{3}$. This logarithmic spiral functional form, shown in Fig. 1(b), is remarkably common, appearing in the mean trajectories of charged particles diffusing in a magnetic field $[20,22,49,50]$, as well as those of chiral active colloids [6,7] and certain biological systems [44,51]. Inserting Eqs. (25)-(26) into Eqs. (23)-(24) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 D_{\|}=v_{0}^{2} \frac{\nu}{\nu^{2}+\omega^{2}},  \tag{27}\\
& 2 D_{\perp}=v_{0}^{2} \frac{\omega}{\nu^{2}+\omega^{2}}, \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with Eq. (22), showing the emergence of $D_{\perp}$ when chirality is present $(\omega \neq 0)$.

Figure 1 illustrates the origins of odd diffusivity in a chiral random walk which permits only left turns ( $\Gamma_{1}=1$, $\Gamma_{2}=\Gamma_{3}=0$ ), for which $D_{\|}=D_{\perp}$, from Eqs. (27)-(28). Figure 1(a) displays the steady-state solution to Eqs. (1)(3) for diffusion between two reservoirs with concentrations $C(x=0)=C_{0}$ and $C(x=L)=0$, resulting in a linear concentration profile $C(x)=C_{0}(1-x / L)$ and uniform flux $\boldsymbol{J}=\left(C_{0} / L\right)\left[D_{\|} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x}+D_{\perp} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{y}\right]$ with a nonzero $y$ component due to odd diffusivity. In the presence of impermeable boundaries this solution must be modified, with $D_{\perp}$ affecting not only the flux but also the concentration, as shown in the Supplemental Material [19], Sec. A.1. Figure 1(b) plots the position-velocity correlation functions entering into the Green-Kubo relations (13) and (14). Finally, Fig. 1(c) shows a random sample from the subensembles of time-reversed trajectories $\Delta \boldsymbol{r}^{\alpha}(-t)$ passing through the origin at time $t=0$ with either $\boldsymbol{v}^{\alpha}(0)=+v_{0} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x}$ or $\boldsymbol{v}^{\alpha}(0)=-v_{0} \hat{e}_{x}$. Because of chirality, the paths in these two subensembles lead backwards in time to regions differing not only in the $x$ but also the $y$ coordinate, so that a gradient in the $y$ direction generates a flux in the $x$ direction. This is the microscopic basis of odd diffusivity.

Diffusion in a chiral active bath.-Several recent studies have described novel behavior of the symmetric diffusivity $D_{\|}[52-55]$ as well as an antisymmetric mobility [56-59] in active systems. In this section, we study the odd diffusivity of a passive tracer particle dissolved in a two-dimensional chiral active fluid composed of torqued dumbbells, which was found in previous studies to exhibit odd viscosity and an asymmetric hydrostatic stress [15,60]. The positions $\boldsymbol{r}_{i}$ and velocities $\boldsymbol{v}_{i}$ of particle $i$ evolve according to underdamped Langevin dynamics


FIG. 2. Position-velocity correlation functions computed from molecular dynamics simulations of a passive tracer in a chiral active dumbbell bath with density $\rho_{\text {bath }}=0.4$ (a) and $\rho_{\text {bath }}=0.1$ (b). Stars mark converged values as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Both $D_{\perp}$ and $D_{\|}$ increase with Pe , as does the ratio $D_{\perp} / D_{\|}$, as indicated by dashed lines. The inset in (b) depicts the model system.

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{i} & =\boldsymbol{v}_{i} \\
\dot{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i} & =-\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_{i}} U+\boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{A}-\zeta \boldsymbol{v}_{i}+\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

with particle masses set to one. Here, $-\left(\partial / \partial \boldsymbol{r}_{i}\right) U$ is the conservative force on particle $i$ due to interactions (see Supplemental Material [19], Sec. A. 2 for model and simulation details). $\boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{A}$ is a nonconservative active force inducing rotation of the dumbbell. $\zeta$ is the dissipative bath friction and $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}$ are the bath fluctuations, modeled as Gaussian white noise characterized by $\left\langle\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}\right\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}(t) \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=2 k_{B} T \zeta \delta_{i j} \delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{I}$, where $k_{B} T$ is the bath temperature and $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity matrix. In all simulations the density of active dumbbells, $\rho_{\text {bath }}$, is spatially homogeneous. The magnitude of $f^{A}=\left|\boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{A}\right|$ relative to thermal fluctuations is quantified by a nondimensional Péclet number defined as $\mathrm{Pe}=\left(2 f^{A} d / k_{\mathrm{B}} T\right)$, where $d$ is the equilibrium dumbbell bond length.

Molecular dynamics simulations [61,62] with fully periodic boundaries allow for the measurement of the position-velocity correlation functions, which are plotted in Fig. 2. We have taken the convention that $\mathrm{Pe}>0$ corresponds to clockwise rotation of the dumbbells, which induces counterclockwise motion of the passive tracer, as depicted in the inset of Fig. 2(b). When $\mathrm{Pe} \neq 0$, an antisymmetric part of the correlation function appears, with a shape resembling the logarithmic spirals identified in the chiral random walk model [Fig. 1(b) and magnitude depending strongly on the density of the active dumbbell bath. The resulting Green-Kubo estimates of $D_{\perp}$ and $D_{\|}$are plotted in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) for a range of active bath densities, where $D_{\perp}$ is seen to be an odd function of Pe while $D_{\|}$is an even function of Pe .


FIG. 3. Comparison of the diffusion coefficients $D_{\perp}$ (a) and $D_{\|}$ (b) computed from the Green-Kubo relations (solid lines) with those measured in boundary-driven flux simulations (dashed lines) for several densities of the active dumbbell bath $\rho_{\text {bath }}$ and values of Pe. Error bars are smaller than the symbols.

To validate the Green-Kubo relations, we independently performed boundary-driven flux simulations in which passive tracer particles at high dilution were introduced at the left boundary of the simulation box and removed from the right boundary at a constant rate, while the top and bottom boundaries remained periodic. The resulting steady state exhibits a uniform concentration gradient in the $x$ direction, and uniform flux with a $y$ component emerging for $\mathrm{Pe} \neq 0$ (see Supplemental Material [19], Sec. A.2). The diffusion coefficients $D_{\perp}$ and $D_{\|}$were then computed directly from the constitutive relations (1) and (2). The resulting values are plotted in Fig. 3 against the Green-Kubo predictions, demonstrating good agreement. We note that this system exhibits an antisymmetric part of the mobility, but with no apparent Einstein relation connecting this quantity to the odd diffusivity (see Supplemental Material [19], Sec. A.3).

Conclusion.-Ordinarily, isotropic diffusion involves fluxes parallel to concentration gradients. In general, however, there may emerge fluxes in the perpendicular direction. This behavior appears as an antisymmetric part of the diffusivity tensor, which we have termed odd diffusivity. From a first-principles consideration of the microscopic basis of the constitutive relations describing these perpendicular fluxes, we have derived a Green-Kubo relation for odd diffusivity, showing it to exist only when time-reversal and parity symmetries are broken, whether in or out of equilibrium. This approach may help to characterize additional odd transport phenomena with divergencefree fluxes, such as odd heat conduction and odd couplings between viscous and diffusive transport.
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